



DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
ENGINEERING DIVISION
156 S. BROADWAY, SUITE 150
TURLOCK, CA 95380

PHONE: (209) 668-5520
FAX: (209) 668-5563
TDD: (800) 735-2929
engineering@turlock.ca.us

June 9, 2018

City Project No. 17-22, North Valley Regional Recycled Water Pipeline – Turlock Component

Plan holders:

Addendum No. 3 was issued today. Attached hereto are the following supplemental reference documents, but are not considered contract documents:

- Questions and Answers Set #3 (Questions received through June 7, 2018)

If you have any questions, please contact Stephen Fremming at (209) 668-5417 or email at sfremming@turlock.ca.us.

Sincerely,

Randall Jones
Assistant Engineer

City of Turlock
North Valley Regional Recycled Water Program - Turlock Component
Questions and Answers during Bidding

Questions and Answers Set #3 (Questions received from June 1, 2018 to June 7, 2018)

These questions and answers are provided for convenience and informational purposes only and do not modify the contract documents. See Addenda for contract modifications, if any.

- 1. According to Section 01410 of Volume 1 of the specifications, part 1.07 Air Pollution Control B. "The contractor assumes full responsibility for conforming to San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District's (SJVAPCD) restrictions and requirements as well as application/ permit fees. Please clarify which requirements the contractor is responsible for. Is this stating that the contractor is required to submit the Indirect Source Review (ISR)? Or has the ISR application and analysis been performed already and the contractor is simply responsible for implementing the Construction Clean Fleet mitigation and Dust Control Plan to comply.**

See response to Question #2.

- 2. It should be noted SJVAPCD Rule 9510, Section 5 states that all ISR applications shall be submitted prior to project approval. If no ISR application has been filed at this point in time, the project is out of compliance with Rule 9510. The purpose of the ISR process is to analyze and quantify the operational and construction emissions of a project. It seems that it doesn't make sense for the Contractor to be responsible for the emissions and mitigation fees resulting from the operational phase of the project.**

Contractor is responsible for conforming to the Air Quality requirements listed in Appendix B - Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan located in Volume 4. The Contractor is responsible for implementing the Construction Clean Fleet mitigation and Dust Control Plan to comply with the listed requirements.

The Rule 9510 ISR application is not applicable to NVRRWP because this project is not a "development project".

- 3. According to Volume 4 of the specifications and the permits with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), US Army Corp of Engineers (USACE), and Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) there are many submittals required to each of the agencies as outlined in the respective permits. Is the project owner/ engineer in charge of requesting and submitting these items or is the contractor responsible for coordinating directly with these agencies? All of the agencies are requesting a frac-out plan as well, is the contractor responsible for preparing this frac-out plan?**

The Contractor is responsible for complying with the terms of the permits in Volume 4. For permits that are issued to the City of Turlock, the City is responsible for coordinating with those agencies, however the contractor does have to comply with the terms of those permits.

City of Turlock
North Valley Regional Recycled Water Program - Turlock Component
Questions and Answers during Bidding

The Contractor is responsible for preparing a frac-out plan which will be submitted to the Engineer and the City for review. The City will submit the plan to CDFW in compliance with the Streambed Alteration Agreement

- 4. With the issuance of Addendum #1, it appears that the City will undertake most of the field quality control for this project. Is it still necessary for the Contractor to have a Quality Control Manager as specified in Section 01460 - Contractor Quality Control Plan?**

The Contractor is responsible for the quality of the construction. The Contractor shall develop a quality control plan that meets the requirements of specification 01460. The City will provide third party testing to confirm compliance with the specification requirements as specified in the Contract Documents.

- 5. Is this Project going to require smoothness as per Cal-Trans 2015 Specifications?**

The project will not require smoothness as per Cal-Trans 2015 Specifications. This requirement is not included in the specifications.

- 6. Is this project going to be indexed?**

The project will not be indexed. The Contractor is responsible for pricing materials at the time of bid as required by the specifications.

- 7. Does the City really want a Slurry Seal as per Cal-trans Section 37 at the end of the warranty period?**

The seal coat referenced in Appendix K - Stanislaus County Draft Encroachment Permit is for new development projects only and is not required for the Turlock NVRWP Pipeline Project.

- 8. I have reviewed Section 17101 of the spec and I need a little more information. This would be one of our MCP control panels and we have a submittal form that we need completed to ensure we include all required options in pricing. I have started filling out the form based on the spec. Could you review and fill out the rest based on your requirements.**

The Contractor is responsible for filling out the control panel equipment data sheet. The Contract Documents include the necessary information to complete the control panel equipment data sheet.

- 9. We were made aware of Addendum #2 for the project yesterday afternoon (6/7/2018) and there appears to be some significant changes to Section 02261-Shaft Excavation and Support for Trenchless Pipeline Installation. There is not sufficient time to prepare**

City of Turlock
North Valley Regional Recycled Water Program - Turlock Component
Questions and Answers during Bidding

alternate shoring packages and solicit subcontractors for this work. We are requesting a bid date extension to allow sufficient time to prepare our bids and ask additional questions regarding changes made in Addendum #2.

The City is not planning to revise the bid date at this time. The revisions to specification 02261 clarify that the micro-tunnel shaft excavations require impermeable shoring because of the groundwater levels. Refer to Appendix N and O for groundwater level information.